UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil No. 1:18-cv-950 (LO / JFA)

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC, et al.,

Defendants.

MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5(C), Defendants Cox Communications, Inc. and CoxCom, LLC ("Cox") file this motion to seal portions of Cox's Reply Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Its Motion For Relief From the Judgment Under Rule 60(B)(3) And Request For Indicative Ruling Under Rule 62.1 ("Cox's Reply Memorandum of Law") (ECF No. 780). The portions of Cox's Reply Memorandum of Law to be filed under seal quote from and refer to documents designated Confidential and Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only by Plaintiffs and third-party MarkMonitor, Inc. under the Stipulated Protective Order in this case (ECF No. 58) (the "Protective Order").

Specifically, the sealed portions of Cox's Reply Memorandum of Law refer to sealed material from Ex. 4, the Declaration of Sam Bahun in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Cox's Motions In Limine, previously filed under seal at ECF 538-1. The sealed portions of Cox's Reply Memorandum of Law also refer to sealed material from Ex. 29, a document produced by Plaintiffs bearing Bates number Plaintiffs_00286272. These exhibits were previously sealed in this case per the order filed at ECF No. 745.

Cox takes no position on whether the redacted material is confidential. Rather, Cox moves to seal these documents based on MarkMonitor's designations. Thus, Local Civil Rule 5(C)

provides that "[w]hen a party moves to file material under seal because another party has

designated that material as confidential, the party designating the material as confidential must file

a response to the motion," which includes:

1. "A statement why sealing is necessary, and why another procedure will not suffice,

as well as appropriate evidentiary support for the sealing request." L. Civ. R. 5(C)(2).

2. "References to the governing case law, an analysis of the appropriate standard to

be applied for that specific filing, and a description of how that standard has been satisfied." L.

Civ. R. 5(C)(3).

3. "Unless permanent sealing is sought, a statement as to the period of time the party

seeks to have the matter maintained under seal and how the matter is to be handled upon

unsealing." L. Civ. R. 5(C)(4).

4. A proposed order.

Dated: March 2, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

s/J. Tyler McGaughey

J. Tyler McGaughey (VSB No. 78809)

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

1901 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 282-5000

Fax: (202) 282-5100

Email: TMcGaughey@winston.com

Attorney for Defendants Cox

Communications, Inc. and CoxCom, LLC

2

Of Counsel for Defendants

Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Tel: (212) 294-6700

Fax: (212) 294-4700

Email: MElkin@winston.com

Jennifer A. Golinveaux (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 California Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5840 Tel: (415) 591-1000

Tel: (415) 591-1000 Fax: (415) 591-1400

Email: JGolinveaux@winston.com

Geoffrey P. Eaton (*pro hac vice*) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1900 L Street NW Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 282-5000 Fax: (202) 282-5100

Email: GEaton@winston.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 2, 2022, the foregoing was filed and served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system upon all registered users.

s/ J. Tyler McGaughey
J. Tyler McGaughey